

What jurisdiction type do you represent?			
		Response Percent	Response Count
Federal	<div><div></div></div>	7.8%	4
State	<div><div></div></div>	19.6%	10
County	<div><div></div></div>	41.2%	21
Tribal		0.0%	0
Public Utility	<div><div></div></div>	3.9%	2
General Public	<div><div></div></div>	9.8%	5
Other (please specify)	<div><div></div></div>	25.5%	13
		answered question	51
		skipped question	1

What County/Tribal Community do you represent or as a private citizen where do you live?			
		Response Percent	Response Count
Blackfeet		0.0%	0
Crow		0.0%	0
Flathead		0.0%	0
Fort Belknap		0.0%	0
Fort Peck		0.0%	0
Northern Cheyenne		0.0%	0
Rocky Boy's		0.0%	0
Beaverhead		0.0%	0
Big Horn		0.0%	0
Blaine		0.0%	0
Broadwater	<div><div></div></div>	1.9%	1
Carbon		0.0%	0
Carter		0.0%	0
Cascade		0.0%	0
Chouteau		0.0%	0

Custer		0.0%	0
Daniels		0.0%	0
Dawson		0.0%	0
Deer Lodge		0.0%	0
Fallon		0.0%	0
Fergus		0.0%	0
Flathead		0.0%	0
Gallatin	<div></div>	3.9%	2
Garfield		0.0%	0
Glacier		0.0%	0
Golden Valley		0.0%	0
Granite		0.0%	0
Hill		0.0%	0
Jefferson	<div></div>	5.8%	3
Judith Basin		0.0%	0
Lake		0.0%	0
Lewis And Clark	<div></div>	80.8%	42
Liberty		0.0%	0
Lincoln		0.0%	0
Madison	<div></div>	5.8%	3
McCone		0.0%	0
Meagher		0.0%	0
Mineral		0.0%	0
Missoula		0.0%	0
Musselshell		0.0%	0
Park	<div></div>	1.9%	1
Petroleum		0.0%	0
Phillips		0.0%	0
Pondera		0.0%	0
Powder River		0.0%	0
Powell		0.0%	0

Prairie		0.0%	0
Ravalli		0.0%	0
Richland		0.0%	0
Roosevelt		0.0%	0
Rosebud		0.0%	0
Sanders		0.0%	0
Sheridan		0.0%	0
Silver Bow		0.0%	0
Stillwater		0.0%	0
Sweet Grass		0.0%	0
Teton		0.0%	0
Toole		0.0%	0
Treasure		0.0%	0
Valley		0.0%	0
Wheatland		0.0%	0
Wibaux		0.0%	0
Yellowstone		0.0%	0
Other		0.0%	0
	answered question		52
	skipped question		0

Have you seen or read the State of Montana Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan and Statewide Hazard Assessment.			
		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	<div></div>	63.5%	33
No	<div></div>	36.5%	19
	answered question		52
	skipped question		0

How would you rate the overall quality and content of the plan.			
		Response Percent	Response Count
1 - Poor	<div></div>	0.0%	0
2	<div></div>	0.0%	0
3 - Average	<div></div>	34.5%	10
4	<div></div>	55.2%	16
5 - Excellent	<div></div>	17.2%	5
	answered question		29
	skipped question		23

Do you feel the plan accurately portrays natural and man-made hazards in Montana?			
		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	<div></div>	100.0%	29
No	<div></div>	6.9%	2
	answered question		29
	skipped question		23

What improvements do you think could be made to the plan?		
		Response Count
		9
	answered question	9
	skipped question	43

From the perspective of the jurisdiction that you represent or permanently reside in, how do you perceive the risk to each of the following hazards: Risk is defined as the potential to affect people, environment, economy and property of your jurisdiction. High/Medium/Low for:					
	High	Medium	Low	Rating Average	Response Count
Communicable Disease	15.4% (6)	35.9% (14)	48.7% (19)	2.33	39
Drought	48.7% (19)	38.5% (15)	12.8% (5)	1.64	39
Earthquake	78.9% (30)	21.1% (8)	0.0% (0)	1.21	38
Flooding/Dam Failure	38.5% (15)	46.2% (18)	15.4% (6)	1.77	39
Hazardous Material Incidents	35.9% (14)	51.3% (20)	12.8% (5)	1.77	39
Landslide	2.6% (1)	33.3% (13)	64.1% (25)	2.62	39
Terrorism/Violence	10.8% (4)	24.3% (9)	64.9% (24)	2.54	37
Thunderstorm Wind, Hail, and Tornadoes	46.2% (18)	48.7% (19)	5.1% (2)	1.59	39
Volcanic Eruption	0.0% (0)	18.4% (7)	81.6% (31)	2.82	38
Wildfire	89.7% (35)	7.7% (3)	2.6% (1)	1.13	39
Winter Storms/Avalanche	36.8% (14)	55.3% (21)	7.9% (3)	1.71	38
	answered question				39
	skipped question				13

Please comment on the impact that future development will have on the hazards listed from the perspective of your jurisdiction.			
		Response Percent	Response Count
Communicable Disease	<div></div>	75.0%	18
Drought	<div></div>	75.0%	18
Earthquake	<div></div>	91.7%	22
Flooding/Dam Failure	<div></div>	75.0%	18
Hazardous Material Incidents	<div></div>	75.0%	18
Landslide	<div></div>	58.3%	14
Terrorism/Violence	<div></div>	66.7%	16
Thunderstorm Wind, Hail, and Tornadoes	<div></div>	70.8%	17
Volcanic Eruption	<div></div>	58.3%	14
Wildfire	<div></div>	91.7%	22
Winter Storms/Avalanche	<div></div>	62.5%	15
	answered question		24
	skipped question		28

Please prioritize the following proposed NEW goals for the State Plan Update by order of importance from the perspective of your jurisdiction (1=highest / 10=lowest):											
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average
Maximize the Use of Mitigation Actions that Prevent Losses from All Hazards	43.8% (14)	18.8% (6)	12.5% (4)	12.5% (4)	6.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	6.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	2.56
Increase State’s Capability to Provide and Assist Locals with Mitigation Opportunities	37.5% (12)	25.0% (8)	18.8% (6)	6.3% (2)	6.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.1% (1)	3.1% (1)	2.59
Reduce the Community Impacts of Wildland and Rangeland Fires	56.3% (18)	18.8% (6)	21.9% (7)	0.0% (0)	3.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.75
Mitigate the Potential Loss of Life and Property from Flooding (riverine flooding, ice jams, dam failure)	25.0% (8)	6.3% (2)	21.9% (7)	12.5% (4)	15.6% (5)	12.5% (4)	0.0% (0)	3.1% (1)	3.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	3.59
Minimize Economic Impacts of Drought	15.6% (5)	9.4% (3)	21.9% (7)	6.3% (2)	28.1% (9)	0.0% (0)	9.4% (3)	6.3% (2)	3.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	4.09
Reduce Impacts from Severe Summer Weather (thunderstorm wind, hail, tornadoes)	9.4% (3)	3.1% (1)	18.8% (6)	6.3% (2)	34.4% (11)	3.1% (1)	12.5% (4)	6.3% (2)	6.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	4.81
Reduce Impacts from Severe Winter Weather (extreme cold, snow, ice)	9.4% (3)	6.3% (2)	25.0% (8)	12.5% (4)	28.1% (9)	3.1% (1)	6.3% (2)	3.1% (1)	3.1% (1)	3.1% (1)	4.34
Reduce Potential Earthquake Losses in Western Montana	31.3% (10)	25.0% (8)	25.0% (8)	6.3% (2)	12.5% (4)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.44
Reduce Losses from Hazardous Material Incidents	9.4% (3)	25.0% (8)	12.5% (4)	21.9% (7)	15.6% (5)	6.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	6.3% (2)	3.1% (1)	3.88
Encourage Mitigation of Potentially Devastating but Historically Less Frequent Hazards	6.3% (2)	6.3% (2)	21.9% (7)	9.4% (3)	37.5% (12)	0.0% (0)	3.1% (1)	3.1% (1)	3.1% (1)	9.4% (3)	4.78
	answered question										
	skipped question										

Please indicate any additional Goals you think should be added to the State Plan.	
	Response Count
	6
	answered question6
	skipped question46

Goal: Maximize the use of mitigation actions that prevent losses from all hazards.												
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average	
Develop GIS databases of hazard risk maps and state buildings and infrastructure to use in mitigation planning	31.0% (9)	24.1% (7)	20.7% (6)	6.9% (2)	3.4% (1)	0.0% (0)	6.9% (2)	3.4% (1)	3.4% (1)	0.0% (0)	2.93	
Conduct Level 1 HAZUS-MH analyses for all Montana counties	13.8% (4)	17.2% (5)	27.6% (8)	10.3% (3)	24.1% (7)	0.0% (0)	6.9% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.41	
Improve Statewide HAZUS data	13.8% (4)	24.1% (7)	31.0% (9)	3.4% (1)	20.7% (6)	6.9% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.14	
Determine GPS locations of all State buildings for detailed, non-public analysis	14.3% (4)	14.3% (4)	21.4% (6)	7.1% (2)	28.6% (8)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (2)	3.6% (1)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	3.89	
Conduct a non-public hazard assessment that utilizes specific State building locations and infrastructure locations to be used for mitigation actions and homeland security purposes	13.8% (4)	10.3% (3)	10.3% (3)	13.8% (4)	24.1% (7)	17.2% (5)	0.0% (0)	3.4% (1)	3.4% (1)	3.4% (1)	4.38	
Promote earth science education of hazards in schools	10.7% (3)	10.7% (3)	25.0% (7)	25.0% (7)	17.9% (5)	0.0% (0)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (2)	3.93	
Conduct a Statewide warning capability assessment	27.6% (8)	20.7% (6)	27.6% (8)	6.9% (2)	13.8% (4)	3.4% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.69	
Develop a Statewide All-Hazard Emergency Alert System (EAS) plan	34.5% (10)	17.2% (5)	31.0% (9)	3.4% (1)	10.3% (3)	3.4% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.48	
Continuously improve hazard assessments and the associated evaluation of vulnerabilities from all hazards.	10.3% (3)	34.5% (10)	31.0% (9)	13.8% (4)	10.3% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.79	
Increase the public awareness of hazards	28.6% (8)	28.6% (8)	25.0% (7)	10.7% (3)	3.6% (1)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.43	
Enable every citizen in Montana to receive critical warning information immediately no matter where he/she is	37.9% (11)	20.7% (6)	10.3% (3)	10.3% (3)	17.2% (5)	3.4% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.59	
Increase readiness for the protection of life and property during an event	48.3% (14)	17.2% (5)	10.3% (3)	17.2% (5)	3.4% (1)	3.4% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.21	
	answered question											

	skipped question	
Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.		
		Response Count
		7
	answered question	7
	skipped question	45

Goal: Increase State’s capability to provide and assist locals with mitigation opportunities.												
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average	
Continue outreach of mitigation project funding opportunities	35.7% (10)	17.9% (5)	17.9% (5)	14.3% (4)	10.7% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	2.68	
Provide technical assistance with the environmental review process	17.9% (5)	21.4% (6)	17.9% (5)	21.4% (6)	17.9% (5)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	3.21	
Provide technical assistance for project development	21.4% (6)	17.9% (5)	17.9% (5)	21.4% (6)	21.4% (6)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.04	
Create an electronic database of completed mitigation projects in Montana	17.9% (5)	14.3% (4)	21.4% (6)	7.1% (2)	21.4% (6)	10.7% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.6% (1)	3.6% (1)	3.79	
Increase the scope and participation of the State Hazard Mitigation Team	18.5% (5)	14.8% (4)	14.8% (4)	14.8% (4)	18.5% (5)	7.4% (2)	3.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	7.4% (2)	0.0% (0)	3.81	
Create a private advisory group for mitigation	14.3% (4)	14.3% (4)	21.4% (6)	3.6% (1)	14.3% (4)	7.1% (2)	7.1% (2)	7.1% (2)	3.6% (1)	7.1% (2)	4.46	
Streamline mitigation standards in state and/or local subdivision regulations	29.6% (8)	11.1% (3)	14.8% (4)	11.1% (3)	18.5% (5)	7.4% (2)	3.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	3.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	3.37	
Strengthen state and/or local building codes	35.7% (10)	28.6% (8)	10.7% (3)	7.1% (2)	7.1% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (2)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	2.79	
Require growth policies consider natural and man-made hazard	46.4% (13)	25.0% (7)	14.3% (4)	7.1% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.25	
Create a state funded grant program to assist with the 25% match for local governments	50.0% (14)	10.7% (3)	10.7% (3)	7.1% (2)	7.1% (2)	3.6% (1)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (2)	0.0% (0)	2.79	
Coordinate local plan development	25.0% (7)	28.6% (8)	10.7% (3)	14.3% (4)	14.3% (4)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.6% (1)	3.00	
Provide technical assistance with												

hazard mapping for rural communities without GIS capabilities	32.1% (9)	17.9% (5)	28.6% (8)	10.7% (3)	7.1% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	2.64	
	answered question											
	skipped question											

Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.											
											Response Count
											4
	answered question										4
	skipped question										48

Goal: Mitigate the potential loss of life and property from flooding.												
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average	
Develop and improve upon model floodplain ordinances for local governments	32.1% (9)	17.9% (5)	17.9% (5)	14.3% (4)	17.9% (5)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.68	
Develop mapping for unmapped flood prone areas	28.6% (8)	28.6% (8)	17.9% (5)	10.7% (3)	3.6% (1)	10.7% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.64	
Update floodplain mapping of mapped areas	32.1% (9)	25.0% (7)	17.9% (5)	10.7% (3)	7.1% (2)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	2.68	
Establish a schedule for NFIP map reviews and updates	32.1% (9)	10.7% (3)	25.0% (7)	10.7% (3)	14.3% (4)	3.6% (1)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.89	
Provide outreach and technical assistance in joining the NFIP Community Rating System for reducing flood insurance premiums	15.4% (4)	15.4% (4)	34.6% (9)	11.5% (3)	19.2% (5)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.8% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.23	
Increase the public awareness of flood mitigation	35.7% (10)	28.6% (8)	14.3% (4)	21.4% (6)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.21	
Reduce the number of current and future structures in the floodplain	44.4% (12)	22.2% (6)	25.9% (7)	3.7% (1)	3.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.00	
Prevent flooding of structures and infrastructure from inadequate storm drainage and poorly designed irrigation waterways	29.6% (8)	22.2% (6)	25.9% (7)	7.4% (2)	11.1% (3)	0.0% (0)	3.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.63	
Provide adequate warning of flooding events	46.4% (13)	28.6% (8)	10.7% (3)	10.7% (3)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.96	

	<i>answered question</i>	
	<i>skipped question</i>	

Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.		
		Response Count
		3
	<i>answered question</i>	3
	<i>skipped question</i>	49

Goal: Reduce the community impacts of wildland and rangeland fires.												
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average	F
Reduce fuels in the wildland urban interface	78.6% (22)	7.1% (2)	10.7% (3)	0.0% (0)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.43	
Reduce hazardous fuels in rangeland areas	39.3% (11)	28.6% (8)	7.1% (2)	14.3% (4)	7.1% (2)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.32	
Accurately assess and address the current wildland urban interface problems at the subdivision level	71.4% (20)	10.7% (3)	14.3% (4)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.57	
Enhance firefighting resources and improve firefighting capabilities	51.9% (14)	14.8% (4)	25.9% (7)	7.4% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.89	
Enhance community awareness of wildfires through education	53.6% (15)	17.9% (5)	14.3% (4)	7.1% (2)	7.1% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.96	
Enhance effectiveness of response and evacuation	46.4% (13)	17.9% (5)	17.9% (5)	10.7% (3)	7.1% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.14	
Establish mapping or record keeping practices to support fuel management strategies	46.4% (13)	7.1% (2)	21.4% (6)	10.7% (3)	14.3% (4)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.39	
Minimize human-caused ignition sources in fire-prone areas	55.6% (15)	22.2% (6)	14.8% (4)	7.4% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.74	
Centralize fire history documentation	29.6% (8)	7.4% (2)	22.2% (6)	14.8% (4)	18.5% (5)	3.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.7% (1)	3.22	
Develop a consistent Statewide fire risk assessment system	35.7% (10)	28.6% (8)	14.3% (4)	14.3% (4)	3.6% (1)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.32	
Encourage sustainable growth in wildland fire hazard areas	32.1% (9)	17.9% (5)	14.3% (4)	10.7% (3)	7.1% (2)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	10.7% (3)	3.46	

	<i>answered question</i>	
	<i>skipped question</i>	

Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.		
	Response Count	
	6	
	<i>answered question</i>	6
	<i>skipped question</i>	46

Goal: Reduce potential earthquake losses in Western Montana.												
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average	Re
Goal: Reduce potential earthquake losses in Western Montana.	37.0% (10)	29.6% (8)	18.5% (5)	11.1% (3)	3.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.15	
Provide greater enforcement of current building codes	53.6% (15)	10.7% (3)	21.4% (6)	3.6% (1)	10.7% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.07	
Develop model seismic building codes	32.1% (9)	21.4% (6)	32.1% (9)	3.6% (1)	10.7% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.39	
Create stronger building standards for critical facilities and structures housing vulnerable populations	59.3% (16)	18.5% (5)	18.5% (5)	0.0% (0)	3.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.70	
Require earthquake drills in schools in Western Montana	42.9% (12)	10.7% (3)	25.0% (7)	10.7% (3)	10.7% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.36	
Expand and upgrade earthquake monitoring network and reporting capabilities	28.6% (8)	17.9% (5)	28.6% (8)	17.9% (5)	7.1% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.57	
Continue “Earthquake Preparedness Month” outreach activities during the month of October	39.3% (11)	17.9% (5)	17.9% (5)	10.7% (3)	10.7% (3)	0.0% (0)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.50	
Implement non-structural mitigation projects to harden State and community infrastructure from seismic hazards	28.6% (8)	21.4% (6)	21.4% (6)	17.9% (5)	7.1% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	2.75	
Seismically retrofit existing critical facilities and government assets	39.3% (11)	25.0% (7)	17.9% (5)	10.7% (3)	3.6% (1)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.25	
	<i>answered question</i>											

	skipped question	
Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.		
		Response Count
		5
	answered question	5
	skipped question	47

Goal: Minimize economic impacts of drought.												
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average	R
Develop a system for distributing information on current conditions	18.5% (5)	18.5% (5)	14.8% (4)	11.1% (3)	33.3% (9)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	3.44	
Continue to support the State Drought Advisory Committee	22.2% (6)	18.5% (5)	14.8% (4)	11.1% (3)	22.2% (6)	7.4% (2)	3.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.30	
Install Statewide drought monitoring stations	22.2% (6)	11.1% (3)	18.5% (5)	7.4% (2)	33.3% (9)	7.4% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.41	
Use long-term groundwater monitoring to assess drought conditions	18.5% (5)	14.8% (4)	29.6% (8)	14.8% (4)	18.5% (5)	0.0% (0)	3.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.15	
Educate farmers and ranchers in fiscally preventing drought losses	29.6% (8)	33.3% (9)	14.8% (4)	7.4% (2)	7.4% (2)	3.7% (1)	3.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.56	
Educate farmers and ranchers in reducing physical losses during dry seasons	25.9% (7)	33.3% (9)	18.5% (5)	3.7% (1)	11.1% (3)	3.7% (1)	3.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.67	
Identify water retention projects that could lessen the effects of drought	33.3% (9)	33.3% (9)	18.5% (5)	11.1% (3)	3.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.19	
	answered question											
	skipped question											

Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.											
											Response Count
											3
	answered question										3
	skipped question										49

Goal: Reduce impacts from severe winter weather.												
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average	R
Distribute winter driving and survival tips	32.1% (9)	14.3% (4)	25.0% (7)	3.6% (1)	14.3% (4)	7.1% (2)	0.0% (0)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.93	
Increase public awareness of winter weather hazards	35.7% (10)	14.3% (4)	25.0% (7)	3.6% (1)	10.7% (3)	7.1% (2)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.75	
Create partnerships with utility companies and negotiate for shorten span distances between power poles to better withstand snow loads and severe storms	14.3% (4)	17.9% (5)	28.6% (8)	10.7% (3)	28.6% (8)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.21	
Improve communication between emergency response personnel and road departments to facilitate coordination during extreme weather	32.1% (9)	28.6% (8)	25.0% (7)	7.1% (2)	7.1% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.29	
Structurally analyze all buildings or rooms identified as shelters and strengthen these as necessary	17.9% (5)	28.6% (8)	17.9% (5)	10.7% (3)	21.4% (6)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.00	
	answered question											
	skipped question											

Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.											
											Response Count
											4
	answered question										4
	skipped question										48

Goal: Reduce impacts from Severe Summer Weather (thunderstorms, wind, hail, tornadoes)												
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average	
Install safety film on critical facilities to prevent shattering glass.	17.9% (5)	3.6% (1)	25.0% (7)	14.3% (4)	25.0% (7)	10.7% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	3.79	
Encourage development and enforcement of wind resistant buildings and construction codes	14.3% (4)	17.9% (5)	25.0% (7)	7.1% (2)	25.0% (7)	7.1% (2)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.46	
Develop and implement programs to keep trees from threatening lives, property and public infrastructure during windstorm events	15.4% (4)	19.2% (5)	23.1% (6)	11.5% (3)	26.9% (7)	3.8% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.27	
	answered question											
	skipped question											

Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.		
		Response Count
		2
	answered question	2
	skipped question	50

Goal: Reduce losses from Hazardous Material Incidents												
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average	Re
Develop communication plan for hazardous material emergencies	44.4% (12)	22.2% (6)	14.8% (4)	3.7% (1)	11.1% (3)	3.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.26	
Enhance information capability on types of hazardous materials traveling transportation routes	35.7% (10)	28.6% (8)	14.3% (4)	3.6% (1)	17.9% (5)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.39	
Provide hazardous material training to emergency responders	53.6% (15)	17.9% (5)	7.1% (2)	10.7% (3)	10.7% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.07	
Develop evacuation procedures for homes near transportation networks that commonly carry hazardous materials	42.9% (12)	21.4% (6)	14.3% (4)	17.9% (5)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.18	
	answered question											
	skipped question											

Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.		
		Response Count
		2
	answered question	2
	skipped question	50

Goal: Encourage mitigation of potentially devastating but historically less frequent hazards.											
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average
Identify and map areas of greatest landslide and avalanche potential	18.5% (5)	11.1% (3)	11.1% (3)	14.8% (4)	25.9% (7)	11.1% (3)	0.0% (0)	3.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	3.7% (1)	3.96
Create a landslide/avalanche technical committee	3.7% (1)	11.1% (3)	11.1% (3)	22.2% (6)	14.8% (4)	14.8% (4)	11.1% (3)	7.4% (2)	0.0% (0)	3.7% (1)	4.85
Support the mitigation related goals, objectives, and actions of the Montana Homeland Security Strategic Plan	14.8% (4)	11.1% (3)	18.5% (5)	11.1% (3)	18.5% (5)	18.5% (5)	7.4% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.93
Reduce losses from communicable disease	29.6% (8)	14.8% (4)	33.3% (9)	7.4% (2)	3.7% (1)	7.4% (2)	3.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.78
Increase awareness of risks from communicable disease	29.6% (8)	22.2% (6)	25.9% (7)	7.4% (2)	3.7% (1)	7.4% (2)	3.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.70
	answered question										
	skipped question										

Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.		
		Response Count
		1
	answered question	1
	skipped question	51

Please indicate how long it took you to complete the survey.			
		Response Percent	Response Count
5 minutes	<div><div></div></div>	3.7%	1
10 minutes	<div><div></div></div>	22.2%	6
15 minutes	<div><div></div></div>	37.0%	10
20 minutes	<div><div></div></div>	25.9%	7
30 minutes	<div><div></div></div>	7.4%	2
Greater than 30 minutes	<div><div></div></div>	3.7%	1
	answered question		27
	skipped question		25

District 3 On-Line Survey - Other Jurisdictions Completing Plan

[close window](#)














Displaying 1 - 12 of 12 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Red Cross	Wed, 6/6/07 7:28 PM
 Find	2. City of Helena	Wed, 6/6/07 2:40 PM
 Find	3. Private Higher Education	Wed, 6/6/07 7:29 AM
 Find	4. City	Wed, 6/6/07 7:20 AM
 Find	5. USFS and CERT	Wed, 6/6/07 6:01 AM
 Find	6. city	Tue, 6/5/07 12:47 PM
 Find	7. test	Tue, 6/5/07 9:21 AM
 Find	8. Human Services	Fri, 5/25/07 12:38 PM
 Find	9. City of Helena	Fri, 5/25/07 11:27 AM
 Find	10. Airport Authority	Fri, 5/25/07 8:59 AM
 Find	11. Media	Thu, 5/24/07 3:19 PM
 Find	12. American Red Cross-volunteer	Thu, 5/24/07 1:31 PM
		25 responses per page 

District 3 On-Line Survey - Suggested Improvements to State Plan

[close window](#)












Displaying 1 - 10 of 10 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Better priority setting based on risks involved	Tue, 7/3/07 4:05 PM
 Find	2. Maybe change some of the rankings	Wed, 6/6/07 2:40 PM
 Find	3. A section that would be mitigation project oriented....."what, as a State, we are going to do to address the risk from the hazards". Local govt's are required to do that. It is obvious that the State, as a land manager for example needs to be addressing the wildland fuel hazard. The Fish, Wildlife & Parks definitely needs to get into the mitigation game in their parks, day use facilities, etc. Even the MDOT has areas that can use some fuel hazard reduction. They also can reference a committment to mitigation of the hazards that are identified by providing funding to address those hazards.	Tue, 6/5/07 1:54 PM
 Find	4. none	Tue, 6/5/07 9:35 AM
 Find	5. test	Tue, 6/5/07 9:21 AM
 Find	6. none	Tue, 6/5/07 8:36 AM
 Find	7. none	Tue, 6/5/07 8:26 AM
 Find	8. Simplify it. It's too many volumes to be useful right now.	Wed, 5/30/07 10:29 AM
 Find	9. More thorough and knowledgable focus on impacts to food safety and supply issues.	Thu, 5/24/07 1:28 PM
 Find	10. The update that is possible only because all of the counties and tribes have finally completed or nearly completed their local plans.	Thu, 5/24/07 8:07 AM
		10 responses per page 

District 3 On-Line Survey - Suggested New Goals

[close window](#)








Displaying 1 - 6 of 6 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Increase citizen preparedness for all hazards.	Thu, 6/21/07 9:25 PM
 Find	2. None at this time	Wed, 6/6/07 2:45 PM
 Find	3. Maintain up-to-date seismic codes and the general understanding of those codes on the part of engineering professionals and construction review personnel so that construction projects are conducted safely but are affordable. Reverse the tendency to "overdo" seismic protection installations by studying more advanced techniques as practiced in high seismic risk urban areas.	Wed, 6/6/07 7:37 AM
 Find	4. Improve public safety communications interoperability at the local level, including local infrastructure and subscriber units.	Tue, 6/5/07 1:44 PM
 Find	5. Asses and define the true (vs theoretical) ability of FEMA to assist during an emergency. I.E. is FEMA actually a disaster within a disaster.	Tue, 5/29/07 9:40 AM
 Find	6. Have a basic plan to deal with media inquiries and building relationships with the media.	Thu, 5/24/07 3:56 PM
		10 responses per page 

District 3 On-Line Survey - Other Goal 1 Mitigation Projects

[close window](#)









Displaying 1 - 7 of 7 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Continue funding for mitigation projects (fuel reduction/survivable space/defensible space) in the wildland/urban interface.	Tue, 7/3/07 4:39 PM
 Find	2. Educate ALL public school students in preparedness activities.	Thu, 6/21/07 9:32 PM
 Find	3. convince the Legislature to fund all this.	Thu, 6/7/07 1:30 PM
 Find	4. Wildfire fuels mitigation and planning/funding.	Thu, 6/7/07 5:30 AM
 Find	5. Include economic and social values in inventories of structures and areas considered for hazard mitigation planning. Values to include tourism value, heritage value, scenic value, etc.	Wed, 6/6/07 7:43 AM
 Find	6. In the first question above.....please don't just make it a duplicative system to what is already out there. Many of the counties already are strapped with financing a GIS system of their own, when there seems to be NRIS systems out there that are duplicating what is already available locally. Or, finance it as a state system that the counties tap into. No sense in the taxpayers paying for it twice.	Tue, 6/5/07 2:11 PM
 Find	7. There is only so much that can be done for people when they are not willing to help themselves. Most rural people are prepared and take care of each other anyway.	Thu, 5/24/07 8:21 AM
		10 responses per page 

District 3 On-Line Survey - Other Goal 2 Mitigation Projects

[close window](#)






Displaying 1 - 4 of 4 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Private advisory group should be made up of citizens concerned with mitigation needs. Strengthen and enforce mitigation standards in state and/or local subdivision regulations	Thu, 6/21/07 9:32 PM
 Find	2. None at this time.	Thu, 6/7/07 1:30 PM
 Find	3. I am not sure what "streamline mitigation standards in state and/or local subdivision regulations" means in the question above. Streamlining can lead to weakening and a door opened can lead to the horse getting away for good. There definitely needs to be a strengthening.	Tue, 6/5/07 2:11 PM
 Find	4. Is there a State Hazard Mitigation Team?	Thu, 5/24/07 8:21 AM
		10 responses per page 

District 3 On-Line Survey - Other Drought Mitigation Projects

[close window](#)





Displaying 1 - 3 of 3 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Quantify the effects of drought on wildfire potential.	Tue, 7/3/07 5:53 PM
 Find	2. none at this time	Thu, 6/7/07 1:40 PM
 Find	3. I think most of this section is pretty well covered with existing program. Telling farmers and ranchers anything is like preaching to the choir. I don't know any who don't do what they can now. Water retention education needs to start with the Army Corp of Engineers and their continuous draw down of the Missouri and Fort Peck reserviors	Thu, 5/24/07 8:30 AM
		10 responses per page 

District 3 On-Line Survey - Other Earthquake Mitigation Projects

[close window](#)







Displaying 1 - 5 of 5 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Educate public on their responsibility for preparedness for earthquake.	Thu, 6/21/07 9:37 PM
 Find	2. none at this time.	Thu, 6/7/07 1:38 PM
 Find	3. Constantly review and incorporate the most advanced seismic techniques into codes and practices. Constantly update professionals responsible for seismic protection design and construction on those techniques.	Wed, 6/6/07 7:48 AM
 Find	4. Provided for adequate local infrastructure to provide critical services post quake that are sufficient to support survivors for 2 weeks after a major quake.	Thu, 5/24/07 1:39 PM
 Find	5. be careful with building codes. make sure they are friendly to historic building preservation. too many times historic buildings are deemed unsafe or not usable because of the theory that they have to be retrofitted to be like new buildings.	Wed, 5/23/07 4:03 PM
		10 responses per page 

District 3 On-Line Survey - Other Flood Mitigation Projects

[close window](#)





Displaying 1 - 3 of 3 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Increase the public awareness of their responsibility during times of flooding.	Thu, 6/21/07 9:37 PM
 Find	2. none at this time	Thu, 6/7/07 1:38 PM
 Find	3. People who build in flood plains need to take care of their own mitigation problems	Thu, 5/24/07 8:25 AM
		10 responses per page 

District 3 On-Line Survey - Other Hazardous Material Mitigation Projects

[close window](#)




Displaying 1 - 2 of 2 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Increase public awareness of shelter-in-place procedures for homes near transportation networks that commonly carry hazardous materials.	Thu, 6/21/07 9:44 PM
 Find	2. Where a shelter will be set up and to have people even though they may not be staying there, to check in so concern family members or friends know that they are ok.	Wed, 6/6/07 7:44 PM
		10 responses per page 

District 3 On-Line Survey - Other Mitigation Projects for Less Frequent Hazards

[close window](#)



Displaying 1 - 1 of 1 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Increase public awareness of preparedness responsibilities regarding communicable diseases.	Thu, 6/21/07 9:44 PM
		10 responses per page 

District 3 On-Line Survey - Other Wildfire Mitigation Projects

[close window](#)








Displaying 1 - 6 of 6 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Support and fund a state-wide FIRESAFE/FIREWISE ORGANIZATION that can gather,disseminate,and assist counties and other political subdivisions with grant information, project development and operations.	Tue, 7/3/07 5:44 PM
 Find	2. Emphasize public responsibility for defensible space in the wildland urban interface.	Thu, 6/21/07 9:37 PM
 Find	3. none at this time	Thu, 6/7/07 1:38 PM
 Find	4. Mitigation efforts must be stepped up on Federal lands adjacent to private/state.	Thu, 6/7/07 5:33 AM
 Find	5. 2 comments on this section.....mitigation is not about increasing the "response stuff". If mitigation is being done the "response" dollar should be less. If we were allowed the \$1.2 Billion that was spent on fire suppression last year for mitigation work, you shouldn't need the expense of high priced response. (2) I don't know that we need to be "encouraging" sustainable growth, but certainly it is happening without it. What we need to insist on where that growth is occuring is the highest mitigation standards in order for the growth to continue. It is of course hard to rate any of the questions above at anything less than a high rating. Who can be against all that good "enhancing".	Tue, 6/5/07 2:19 PM
 Find	6. Education should help mitigate human caused ingition but I don't know how you can "project" this topic	Thu, 5/24/07 8:25 AM
		10 responses per page 

District 3 On-Line Survey - Other Severe Summer Weather Mitigation Projects

[close window](#)




Displaying 1 - 2 of 2 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Encourage all developments to bury electric lines that could blow down and start fires.	Tue, 7/3/07 5:53 PM
 Find	2. Educate public on native tree species which are more wind resistant.	Thu, 6/21/07 9:44 PM
		10 responses per page 

District 3 On-Line Survey - Other Severe Summer Storm Mitigation Projects

[close window](#)






Displaying 1 - 4 of 4 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Increase public awareness of their responsibility to be prepared for severe winter storms.	Thu, 6/21/07 9:44 PM
 Find	2. Structurally analyze local emergency services facilities and assist and strengthen as necessary or assist with all new constructions.	Thu, 6/7/07 5:37 AM
 Find	3. Being born and growing up in Montana, I'm probably not the best person to answer this question. It is common sense, but with all the people moving here from out of state it may be of great importance.	Wed, 6/6/07 7:44 PM
 Find	4. The new interoperable communications sytem that is being worked on includes emergency response personnel and road departments. Getting it done is a matter of finances	Thu, 5/24/07 8:30 AM
		10 responses per page 

District 3 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Communicable Disease Hazard

[close window](#)




















Displaying 1 - 18 of 18 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Will increase as population increases.	Tue, 7/3/07 4:30 PM
 Find	2. Will increase the hazard	Thu, 6/21/07 9:23 PM
 Find	3. Increase as population in county and city of Helena increases, Whooping Cough outbreak would be an example	Tue, 6/19/07 3:40 PM
 Find	4. More people more victims	Wed, 6/6/07 2:44 PM
 Find	5. more people, more hazard	Wed, 6/6/07 7:24 AM
 Find	6. increase the risks	Tue, 6/5/07 2:17 PM
 Find	7. More folks.....more chances for disease spread	Tue, 6/5/07 2:01 PM
 Find	8. Mushrooming development can only increase this risk.	Tue, 6/5/07 1:44 PM
 Find	9. More people = greater possibility	Tue, 6/5/07 1:09 PM
 Find	10. None	Fri, 5/25/07 11:31 AM
 Find	11. infrastructure support	Fri, 5/25/07 9:17 AM
 Find	12. More people travelling in	Fri, 5/25/07 9:01 AM
 Find	13. Impact on Staff and viewers who watch news	Thu, 5/24/07 3:32 PM
 Find	14. M	Thu, 5/24/07 12:29 PM
 Find	15. The county is growing so it could be higher	Thu, 5/24/07 8:13 AM
 Find	16. Hignier incidence due to increse # of residents	Wed, 5/23/07 4:01 PM
 Find	17. depends on severity (mortality rate) of infectious disease	Wed, 5/23/07 3:12 PM
 Find	18. Surveillance will have to be maintained at a heightened level, with immunization rates high	Wed, 5/23/07 2:31 PM
		50 responses per page 

District 3 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Drought Hazard

close window




















Displaying 1 - 18 of 18 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Homes built in the WUI will be at a higher risk (from fire) as will agriculture.	Tue, 7/3/07 4:30 PM
 Find	2. Will not affect	Thu, 6/21/07 9:23 PM
 Find	3. Risk increases as global warming changes climates and snowfall decreases	Tue, 6/19/07 3:40 PM
 Find	4. Water and power shortages	Wed, 6/6/07 2:44 PM
 Find	5. less agricultural impacts will result as ag declines	Wed, 6/6/07 7:24 AM
 Find	6. n/a	Tue, 6/5/07 2:17 PM
 Find	7. Seems to be getting drier every year	Tue, 6/5/07 2:01 PM
 Find	8. More users + less available water=greater hazard	Tue, 6/5/07 1:44 PM
 Find	9. not much	Tue, 6/5/07 1:09 PM
 Find	10. None	Fri, 5/25/07 11:31 AM
 Find	11. agriculture, drinking water supply	Fri, 5/25/07 9:17 AM
 Find	12. Too many new wells in an already stressed water table	Fri, 5/25/07 8:09 AM
 Find	13. Impacts the types of stories we cover and how we cover them	Thu, 5/24/07 3:32 PM
 Find	14. M	Thu, 5/24/07 12:29 PM
 Find	15. more subdivisions mean fewer crop/pasture land but more water users	Thu, 5/24/07 8:13 AM
 Find	16. some impact due to increased water use	Wed, 5/23/07 4:01 PM
 Find	17. where will water come from for new subdivisions and at what cost	Wed, 5/23/07 3:12 PM
 Find	18. Concern for water conservation	Wed, 5/23/07 2:31 PM
		50 responses per page 

District 3 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Earthquake Hazard

[close window](#)












Displaying 1 - 10 of 22 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. More loss of life and property damage.	Tue, 7/3/07 4:30 PM
 Find	2. Will increase the vulnerable population	Thu, 6/21/07 9:23 PM
 Find	3. Additional population increases increase number of injured and response time if severe event occurs	Tue, 6/19/07 3:40 PM
 Find	4. More chance for impact from smaller quakes	Wed, 6/6/07 2:44 PM
 Find	5. Keeping in mind building construction and possible emergency housing capabilities.	Wed, 6/6/07 7:33 AM
 Find	6. more damage will result as development expands	Wed, 6/6/07 7:24 AM
 Find	7. increase the impact	Tue, 6/5/07 2:17 PM
 Find	8. development will only add to the size of the destruction	Tue, 6/5/07 2:01 PM
 Find	9. Development in hazard prone areas obviously exacerbates the current problem	Tue, 6/5/07 1:44 PM
 Find	10. no additional impact	Tue, 6/5/07 1:09 PM
		10 responses per page 

District 3 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Flooding Hazard

[close window](#)




















Displaying 1 - 18 of 18 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Should be low if restrictions on building in the flood plain are enforced.	Tue, 7/3/07 4:30 PM
 Find	2. Will increase the vulnerable population	Thu, 6/21/07 9:23 PM
 Find	3. Growth in valley flood plain increases costs and number of people affected, dam failure would not concern Helena but downstream such as Wolf Creek would be affected	Tue, 6/19/07 3:40 PM
 Find	4. little impact to city limits, major concern for down stream	Wed, 6/6/07 2:44 PM
 Find	5. more damage will result as development expands	Wed, 6/6/07 7:24 AM
 Find	6. increase the impact	Tue, 6/5/07 2:17 PM
 Find	7. Flooding most common.....development out of the flood plain handles this one	Tue, 6/5/07 2:01 PM
 Find	8. See comment for earthquake	Tue, 6/5/07 1:44 PM
 Find	9. more people building in the flood plain	Tue, 6/5/07 1:09 PM
 Find	10. This could have a major impact in the Helena Valley	Fri, 5/25/07 11:31 AM
 Find	11. More damage, possible loss of life	Fri, 5/25/07 8:09 AM
 Find	12. We would broadcast the flooding areas, what roads are closed/open how people can protect property and evacuations	Thu, 5/24/07 3:32 PM
 Find	13. Same comment as earthquakes.	Thu, 5/24/07 2:27 PM
 Find	14. H	Thu, 5/24/07 12:29 PM
 Find	15. shouldn't change much	Thu, 5/24/07 8:13 AM
 Find	16. great impact	Wed, 5/23/07 4:01 PM
 Find	17. cyclical	Wed, 5/23/07 3:12 PM
 Find	18. continued evaluation of the dams on the Missouri	Wed, 5/23/07 2:31 PM
		25 responses per page 

District 3 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Hazardous Material Incidents

close window




















Displaying 1 - 18 of 18 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Will increase as population increases.	Tue, 7/3/07 4:30 PM
 Find	2. Will increase the vulnerable population	Thu, 6/21/07 9:23 PM
 Find	3. number of injured and response time if severe event occurs	Tue, 6/19/07 3:40 PM
 Find	4. increase risk	Thu, 6/7/07 5:26 AM
 Find	5. Additional chances for accidents	Wed, 6/6/07 2:44 PM
 Find	6. more damage will result as development/economic activity expands	Wed, 6/6/07 7:24 AM
 Find	7. increase the impact	Tue, 6/5/07 2:17 PM
 Find	8. Again, more stuff moving and being stored means more chance for problems.	Tue, 6/5/07 2:01 PM
 Find	9. Interstate transport through increasingly urbanized areas without attendant increase in mitigation and response capability.	Tue, 6/5/07 1:44 PM
 Find	10. not much	Tue, 6/5/07 1:09 PM
 Find	11. Future development would have a low impact	Fri, 5/25/07 11:31 AM
 Find	12. More disruption as our rail lines go right thru the center of town	Fri, 5/25/07 8:09 AM
 Find	13. Would report road/RR Track closures and if any plumes are headed toward residential areas and what the public should do.	Thu, 5/24/07 3:32 PM
 Find	14. M	Thu, 5/24/07 12:29 PM
 Find	15. our risk is 2 interstate highways	Thu, 5/24/07 8:13 AM
 Find	16. great impact	Wed, 5/23/07 4:01 PM
 Find	17. rare but do occur	Wed, 5/23/07 3:12 PM
 Find	18. Evaluation of policies regarding handling, storage and transporting hazardous material	Wed, 5/23/07 2:31 PM
		50 responses per page 

District 3 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Landslide Hazard

[close window](#)
















Displaying 1 - 14 of 14 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

	Comment Text	Response Date
 Find	1. Shouldn't be much impact particularly if subdivision reg's are enforced for no building on slopes over 30%.	Tue, 7/3/07 4:30 PM
 Find	2. n/a	Thu, 6/21/07 9:23 PM
 Find	3. Not really a concern	Tue, 6/19/07 3:40 PM
 Find	4. Only if Mount Helena lets go	Wed, 6/6/07 2:44 PM
 Find	5. more damage will result as development expands	Wed, 6/6/07 7:24 AM
 Find	6. n/a	Tue, 6/5/07 2:17 PM
 Find	7. If it is caused by development then sure.....otherwise not going to change	Tue, 6/5/07 2:01 PM
 Find	8. no additional impact	Tue, 6/5/07 1:09 PM
 Find	9. Low	Fri, 5/25/07 11:31 AM
 Find	10. Landslides are unlikely, but in the event of a landslide we would report on the damage and safety of the general area	Thu, 5/24/07 3:32 PM
 Find	11. L	Thu, 5/24/07 12:29 PM
 Find	12. would change if there are more fires	Thu, 5/24/07 8:13 AM
 Find	13. low impact	Wed, 5/23/07 4:01 PM
 Find	14. Evaluation and communication regarding landslides	Wed, 5/23/07 2:31 PM
		25 responses per page 

District 3 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Severe Summer Weather Hazard

[close window](#)



















Displaying 1 - 17 of 17 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. As development increases so will the chances for damage from these natural events.	Tue, 7/3/07 4:30 PM
 Find	2. n/a	Thu, 6/21/07 9:23 PM
 Find	3. number of injured and response time if severe event occurs	Tue, 6/19/07 3:40 PM
 Find	4. roads, traffic, power shortages	Wed, 6/6/07 2:44 PM
 Find	5. more damage will result as development expands	Wed, 6/6/07 7:24 AM
 Find	6. increase the impact	Tue, 6/5/07 2:17 PM
 Find	7. Weather is not impacted by more development.....again more development, more exposure, possibility for more damage.	Tue, 6/5/07 2:01 PM
 Find	8. no additional impact	Tue, 6/5/07 1:09 PM
 Find	9. Unknown	Fri, 5/25/07 11:31 AM
 Find	10. seasonal situation	Fri, 5/25/07 9:01 AM
 Find	11. More destruction	Fri, 5/25/07 8:09 AM
 Find	12. Warn the public of storms, how to stay safe in severe wx, and any evacuations if any.	Thu, 5/24/07 3:32 PM
 Find	13. M	Thu, 5/24/07 12:29 PM
 Find	14. more structures to be effected	Thu, 5/24/07 8:13 AM
 Find	15. some impact	Wed, 5/23/07 4:01 PM
 Find	16. Hail can do major damage (economic)	Wed, 5/23/07 3:12 PM
 Find	17. Continued monitoring of the weather to warn populations in the path of a storm	Wed, 5/23/07 2:31 PM
		25 responses per page 

District 3 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Terrorism Hazard

[close window](#)


















Displaying 1 - 16 of 16 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. I don't believe the terrorists are much interested in Montana.	Tue, 7/3/07 4:30 PM
 Find	2. Will increase the hazard	Thu, 6/21/07 9:23 PM
 Find	3. Not to much of a concern	Tue, 6/19/07 3:40 PM
 Find	4. Possible problems at the Capital and different anti government groups	Wed, 6/6/07 2:44 PM
 Find	5. I wonder about the Helena Civic Center as a target	Wed, 6/6/07 7:24 AM
 Find	6. n/a	Tue, 6/5/07 2:17 PM
 Find	7. society is getting more antsy on this one, more folks, more potential for violence.	Tue, 6/5/07 2:01 PM
 Find	8. While the direct risk of terrorist incident within the county is not high, this relatively rural area would represent a good staging area for such events. As a related matter, the incidence of violence can be expected to increase as numbers of visitors and residents continue to increase.	Tue, 6/5/07 1:44 PM
 Find	9. more people = less familiarity	Tue, 6/5/07 1:09 PM
 Find	10. Low	Fri, 5/25/07 11:31 AM
 Find	11. We would give the public whatever information we could release about the incident what they should do, how to talk to their kids and what safety precautions they should take.	Thu, 5/24/07 3:32 PM
 Find	12. L	Thu, 5/24/07 12:29 PM
 Find	13. probably not much change	Thu, 5/24/07 8:13 AM
 Find	14. low impact	Wed, 5/23/07 4:01 PM
 Find	15. probability increases daily	Wed, 5/23/07 3:12 PM
 Find	16. Little risk in MT	Wed, 5/23/07 2:31 PM
		25 responses per page 

District 3 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Volcanic Eruption Hazard

close window
















Displaying 1 - 14 of 14 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. The only impact I see from this event is ash fall-out from an eruption up wind.	Tue, 7/3/07 4:30 PM
 Find	2. n/a	Thu, 6/21/07 9:23 PM
 Find	3. Not really a concern	Tue, 6/19/07 3:40 PM
 Find	4. not much	Wed, 6/6/07 2:44 PM
 Find	5. not much chance here	Wed, 6/6/07 7:24 AM
 Find	6. increase the impact	Tue, 6/5/07 2:17 PM
 Find	7. no additional impact	Tue, 6/5/07 1:09 PM
 Find	8. Low	Fri, 5/25/07 11:31 AM
 Find	9. Report on air quality, damage assessments of local area if any, what impact it has on our community and evacuees of an area if any.	Thu, 5/24/07 3:32 PM
 Find	10. L	Thu, 5/24/07 12:29 PM
 Find	11. the volcanos in Jefferson County are inactive. Mt St Helens Continues to perform and the Yellowstone lake cauldron could wipe us all out	Thu, 5/24/07 8:13 AM
 Find	12. low impact	Wed, 5/23/07 4:01 PM
 Find	13. Like earthquake - if caldera goes	Wed, 5/23/07 3:12 PM
 Find	14. minimal risk	Wed, 5/23/07 2:31 PM
		25 responses per page 

District 3 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Wildfire Hazard

[close window](#)
























Displaying 1 - 22 of 22 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

	Comment Text	Response Date
 Find	1. This is a critical hazard for our area. Uncontrolled development in the wildland/urban Interface is at risk annually, particularly now with Global Warming. The impact, as with all the listed hazards, is the increased potential for loss of life and property damage.	Tue, 7/3/07 4:30 PM
 Find	2. Will increase the hazard	Thu, 6/21/07 9:23 PM
 Find	3. Global warming and increased building in forest areas increasing costs of wildfire suppression significantly	Tue, 6/19/07 3:40 PM
 Find	4. Increase risk	Thu, 6/7/07 5:26 AM
 Find	5. More chances for victims as growth moves up the into the hills	Wed, 6/6/07 2:44 PM
 Find	6. more damage will result as development expands	Wed, 6/6/07 7:24 AM
 Find	7. increase the impact	Tue, 6/5/07 2:17 PM
 Find	8. The biggy...more WUI.....more fires.....more chance for human starts.....more damage and loss.	Tue, 6/5/07 2:01 PM
 Find	9. Drought and an attendant long time between major fires result in a continuing acceleration of the risk/hazard level.	Tue, 6/5/07 1:44 PM
 Find	10. more people = more possibility of people started fires	Tue, 6/5/07 1:09 PM
 Find	11. Very High	Fri, 5/25/07 11:31 AM
 Find	12. more homes and people in wilderness	Fri, 5/25/07 9:01 AM
 Find	13. Regulations mitigating impact may be required	Fri, 5/25/07 8:19 AM
 Find	14. More destruction,possible loss of life	Fri, 5/25/07 8:09 AM
 Find	15. Evacuations of certain areas, how much the fire has burned or is contained. The wx conditions and their impact on the fire and which direction the fire is traveling.	Thu, 5/24/07 3:32 PM
 Find	16. Increased building in rural interface without required mitigation measures will only exacerbate current problems.	Thu, 5/24/07 2:27 PM
 Find	17. H	Thu, 5/24/07 12:29 PM
 Find	18. high	Thu, 5/24/07 8:13 AM
 Find	19. great impact	Wed, 5/23/07 4:01 PM
 Find	20. increase risk or cause	Wed, 5/23/07 3:43 PM
 Find	21. consider where/how we are building	Wed, 5/23/07 3:12 PM
 Find	22. Continued problems each summer. Need for public education.	Wed, 5/23/07 2:31 PM
		25 responses per page 

District 3 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Severe Winter Weather Hazard

[close window](#)
















Displaying 1 - 15 of 15 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. I don't believe that future development will have much impact on winter storms or avalanche threat in our area.	Tue, 7/3/07 4:30 PM
 Find	2. Will increase the vulnerable population	Thu, 6/21/07 9:23 PM
 Find	3. Less severe in last 10 years then previously, not as much of an issue	Tue, 6/19/07 3:40 PM
 Find	4. not much chance of this happening	Wed, 6/6/07 2:44 PM
 Find	5. more damage will result as development expands	Wed, 6/6/07 7:24 AM
 Find	6. increase the impact	Tue, 6/5/07 2:17 PM
 Find	7. I wish you had not combined these two.....but see the comments above on thunderstorms, etc.	Tue, 6/5/07 2:01 PM
 Find	8. no additional impact	Tue, 6/5/07 1:09 PM
 Find	9. Moderate	Fri, 5/25/07 11:31 AM
 Find	10. More accidents, loss of life	Fri, 5/25/07 8:09 AM
 Find	11. Warnings to the public, what to have on hand in case snowed in, how to survive an avalanche and how to spot avalanche conditions	Thu, 5/24/07 3:32 PM
 Find	12. M	Thu, 5/24/07 12:29 PM
 Find	13. more people not prepared to sit out power outages	Thu, 5/24/07 8:13 AM
 Find	14. some impact	Wed, 5/23/07 4:01 PM
 Find	15. Continued risk. Again need for public education	Wed, 5/23/07 2:31 PM
		25 responses per page 